

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

---

In re: BKY No. 09-47844  
Donna Jo Spangler, ADV No. 10-04008  
Debtor, DEBTOR'S BRIEF

---

Randall L. Seaver, Trustee,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

Todd A. Spangler and Donna Jo Spangler,  
Defendants.

---

To the Trustee and other entities specified in local rule 9013.3

**Facts**

The Debtor, Donna Jo Spangler, opposes the Trustee's motion for Summary Judgment.

The Debtor is a disabled veteran of the armed services of the United States. At the time her petition was filed, and at the present time, her only source of income is her Veteran's disability.

On or about April 12, 2006, Debtor purchased a 2006 H3 Hummer (vehicle) for \$36,863.52.

In August 2008, being unemployed, Debtor entered into a loan agreement with Wisconsin Auto Title Loans, Inc. (Wisconsin Title) for the amount of \$5,010.50 at an annual rate of 150% which was secured by Debtor's vehicle.

Debtor was unable to pay off the loan and her brother, Todd A. Spangler, paid the loan off on March 17, 2009. Because Debtor did not receive the title documents releasing the lien from Wisconsin Title until late September 2009, Debtor and Defendant Todd A. Spangler were not able to record Todd's lien interest until October 2009. Had Debtor's brother not paid off the loan, the vehicle would have been repossessed.

The total amount of encumbrance owing to Todd A. Spangler on the vehicle is \$5,648.82.

During this time, Todd A. Spangler was also helping Debtor to make her payments on her homestead. The total loaned to Debtor for house and car payments was \$19,000.00.

Debtor is not certain that her estimation of the value of the vehicle at \$15,000.00 on Schedule C is correct, and believes that the vehicle should be appraised.

### **Issue**

Is the Chapter 7 Trustee entitled to Summary Judgment as a matter of law?

Answer: No

Summary judgment will be granted if there is no issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed R. Civ. P.56(c)

Here, there are issues of material facts which center around whether the transfer of a security interest to Todd Spangler was made to be a contemporaneous exchange. As 11 USC Section 547(c)(1) provides, a Trustee may not avoid such a transfer.

Debtor was unemployed and was unable to pay off the lien on the vehicle. Todd Spangler made \$5648.82 in vehicle payments until the loan was paid off on March 17, 2009. Had Todd not paid off the loan, which was accruing interest at 150% per annum, Wisconsin Title would have repossessed the vehicle.

Debtor maintains that the transfer of a security made to Todd Spangler was made to be a contemporaneous exchange for new value given to the Debtor and, in fact, a substantially contemporaneous exchange. At the time the debt was retired, the Debtor and Todd were waiting for the release of the lien from Wisconsin Title so that the parties could file Todd's lien interest on the new title. The Debtor did not receive the release until late September 2009, and the new security interest was filed in October 2009. If it were not for Todd's payment, the vehicle would not be part of the Debtor's estate. It would be unjust if the Trustee could avoid Todd's lien interest.

The extent of Todd's lien interest is a question of fact. By the time Todd Spangler retired the debt on the vehicle on March 17, 2009, he had loaned his sister \$19,000.00 – \$5648.82 towards the vehicle and the remainder for house payments. The Debtor listed Todd A. Spangler as a secured creditor holding an interest of \$19,000.00 in the Hummer. The actual money used to retire Wisconsin Title's lien was \$5648.82.

For the reasons cited above, Debtor and Defendant Todd Spangler maintain that there exist issues of material facts which would show that the Trustee may not avoid a transfer as in this case, which was intended by the Debtor and the creditor for whose benefit such transfer was made to be a contemporaneous exchange for new value to the creditor and in fact a substantially contemporaneous exchange.

Debtor and Defendant anticipate that the following witnesses would testify that the facts indicate that the transfer between them was a contemporaneous exchange for new value:

Todd A. Spangler

1492 Sherburne Ave.  
St. Paul, MN 55104

Donna Jo Spangler

1100 West 82<sup>nd</sup> St.  
Bloomington, MN 55420

Dated: June 11, 2010

---

/e/ James R. Doran #23681  
416 East Hennepin, #115  
Minneapolis MN 55414  
Tel: 612-379-5378  
Fax: 612-379-5353  
E-mail: [wexf@hotmail.com](mailto:wexf@hotmail.com)  
Attorney for Debtor and  
Defendant